UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,599	01/20/2004	Stephen R. Van Doren	200313613-1	1105
	7590 12/16/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
	perty Administration	CHERY, MARDOCHEE		
3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			2186	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com laura.m.clark@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/760,599	VAN DOREN ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview callinary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MARDOCHEE CHERY	2186
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) MARDOCHEE CHERY (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) <u>Steven Webb (44,395)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2009	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: September 29, 2009 decision of the Board of Patent Appeals an	d Interferences.	
Claims discussed: 2-4, 7, 9-15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24-26, 29 and 30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner was not successful in an attempt to amend the Indeper the Board's decision of September 29, 2009. Examiner argued to allowable based on newly discovered prior art, however, the Atte	ndent claims to incorporate possible hat incorporating claim 17 into clai	e allowable claims as shown in
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Th of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Mardochee Chery/ Examiner, Art Unit 2188	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)